DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 033 648 HE 001 125

AUTHOR Prowne, Richard G.

TITLE Standards for Upper-Division Colleges,

With Special Reference to Joplin and St.

Jcseph, Misscuri.

Pub Date Feb 66 Note 28p.

EDRS Price EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.50

Descriptors Curriculum Development, Educational

Finance, Educational Planning, *Enrollment

Trends, *Facility Expansion, *Righer Education, *Junior Colleges, Performance Criteria, *Standards, State Legislation

Identifiers *Misscuri

Abstract

In 1965, the legislature of the State of Missouri enacted a bill which provided for the establishment of upper-division programs at the Jasper County (Missouri Southern) and St. Joseph (Missouri Western) Junior Colleges. Under the same bill, the Board of Curators of Missouri University was charged with setting standards to determine the adequacy of a 4-year state college at either cr both locations and the sufficiency of enrollment trends to justify its operation. The standards proposed in this report are (1) upper-division enrollments of at least 1,200 full-time students as part of a total student enrollment of 3,000, and (2) campuses --including land, buildings, and equipment-- costing at least \$12 million. The justifications for these standards are discussed, based on per-student costs and high-quality instruction; tuition charges and enrollment trends; what the curricula of 4-year colleges are expected to offer; construction costs as they relate to projected student costs, and long-range planning for higher education in Missouri. Since the level of student enrollments and available funds at Missouri Southern is closer to that set by the proposed standards, it is recommended that an upper-division program be established there when its lower-division full-time student enrollment reaches 1,800. or between 1967 and 1970. Expansion of the junior college program is proposed for Missouri Western and, when enrollments reach 1,800, a re-study of the need for upper-division programs. (WM)



Standards for Upper-Division Colleges
With Special Reference to Joplin and
St. Joseph, Missouri

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION. & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

By
Richard G. Browne, Normal, Illinois
February, 1966

HE00/ 1:

ERIC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	are
Legis ative Action	1
Responsiblity of Board of Curators of Missouri University	. 2
Procedure in Preparing this Report	. 4
Development of Suggested Standards	• 5 6
2. Relationship of Size to Cost	.14
4. Capital Needs	20
a. Coordinated Planning	21
b. Balanced Enrollments	
Suggested Standards (for the Board of Curators)	27
Specific Application of Suggested Standards	28
Standards	29 30
Appendix	33



This report is prepared at the request of President Elmer Ellis,
Missouri University. The author has taught Political Science at
Illinois State University at Normal and at the University of Illinois.
He served ten years as Executive Officer of the Illinois Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities, and was Acting President of
Western Illinois University. He recently retired as Executive Director of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

He has been a consultant on higher education to the state of Michigan and the state of Virginia and a member of the Higher Education Committee of the Midwest Council of State Governments.

The cortents of this report are solely the responsibility of the author. No one, ir Missouri or elsewhere, sought in any manner to influence his recommendations.

Richard G. Browne



Legislative Action

The legislature of the State of Missouri eracted, in 1965, House Bill 210 which provided for the establishment of upper-division state colleges in Jasper County, (Joplin), and at St. Joseph. These upper-division (third and fourth year) colleges would be closely related to the existing junior colleges in the two communities, the Jasper County Junior College, (now known as Missouri Southern), and the Missouri Western Junior College (St. Joseph).

The statute provided for appointment by the governor of a board of regents for each of these new state colleges and this action has been taken. These boards of regents "shall be responsible for the administration" of both the upper-division state college, when established and the jurior college as well. The state would provide funds to operate the serior college, (upper-division), while the local jurior college districts would provide all funds needed to operate the jurior college and the costs for all capital improvements for both the jurior and serior college. The local jurior college districts is required to levy a tax within the district "which, together with state aid provided for jurior colleges and funds available from any other sources," (student tuition, federal grants, contributions, etc.), will meet its statutory obligations.

This is an interesting arrangement, probably unique in higher education. Its success or failure will be important beyond the boundaries of Missouri.

The statute further provides that the two senior, (state), colleges are to be established in 1967, "or at such a time as the present (junior college district) has acquired a campus for a third and fourth year college which meets the requirements established by the Board of Curators of Missouri University and its enrollment trends constitute sufficient justification for the operation of a four year college in the opinion of the board, whichever occurs later. . . "

Responsibility of Board of Curators of Missouri University.

The statute places upon the Board of Curators of Missouri
University the duty of setting standards in order to determine (a)
the adecuacy of the serior college campus, and (b) the sufficiency
of "enrollment trends" to justify a four year college operation.

It is to assist the Board of Curators in performing this responsibility that the services of this consultant were sought. This report is offered to the Board of Curators in the hope that it will assist it in meeting its responsibility under the statute.

The general Counsel of Missouri University has informed the consultant that "the Board has construed these statutes, (Sections 174.230 and 174.250 Revised Statutes of Missouri), to require it to approve the campus selected by the State College Roard and also to make a determination that enrollment trends of the college constitute sufficient justification for operation of the four-year college. As the Board construes this statute, it is not the Board's duty to select the campus, but merely to determine that the campus submitted to the Board for approval meets the qualifications which the Board may determine



are required and necessary for the establishment of the college.

It is our belief that it is the duty of the College Board to present to the Board of Curators evidence on which it may make a determination as to whether there is at the time sufficient justification for the operation of the four year college."

The above interpretation, which appears to be correct, seems to place on the respective State College Boards of Missouri Southern and Missouri Westerr the burden of proof. It is for each of them to select a site and construct a campus and submit the description of the same to the Board of Curators for approval. In the same fashion, the respective State College Boards, at the appropriate time, need to "present evidence" to the Board of Curators that the enrollment provides sufficient justification for the operation of a four-year college. The consultant understands that both of the State College Boards expect to present such information. Their administrators have supplied a great deal of it, in preliminary form, to the consultant for his use in this report.

Procedure in Preparing this Report

It was deemed advisable that the co-sultant see firsthard the institutions most closely concerned with this report. Therefore he conferred with the administrative staff of Missouri Southern at Jefferson City and later at Joplin, and the administrative staff of Missouri Western at Columbia and also at St. Joseph. He visited both Joplin and St. Joseph and examined the proposed campus sites of both colleges. He also visited the administrators of Southwest Missouri State College



at Maryville. He also conferred with the staff of the Missouri Commission on Higher Education at Jefferson City. In all, he conferred with some two dozen officials concerned with higher education in Missouri. Without exception, these persons gave him complete cooperation and willing assistance.

In addition, the various college and university officers provided the consultant with a large volume of useful data, including some comprehensive studies listed in the appendix to this report.

A number of special statistical tables were prepared at the request of the consultant. Such assistance contributed substantially to the preparation of this report. However, it should be made clear that the consultant himself is solely responsible for these recommendations. No person, in Missouri or elsewhere, sought to influence his conclusions.

Development of Suggested Standards

As stated above, the 1965 legislation created a unique opportunity to examine the factors that are relevant to the implementation of the decisions which the statute set forth. It is clear that the legislature did, in fact, establish upper-division colleges at the two locations provided that the two communities assumed the specific obligations of the law. However, the statute also provided that the new colleges would not actually operate until 1967 or at a later date subject to the judgment of the Board of Curators of Missouri University.

The Board of Curators, of course, would act in an objective fashion upon the basis of relatively specific stardards. What should these stardards contain?



In order to answer this query, the consultant believes it wise

(1) to state clearly certain basic assumptions, (2) to point out the

relationship of size to cost, (3) to set up a "Model" of a program of

acceptable quality and scope, (h) to enalyze the capital needs for pro
jected enrollments, and (5) to relate the above to Missouri's long
range development of higher education. Each of these topics is dis
cussed below. In addition, the proposed standards are applied speci
fically to the aspirations of the two institutions.

1. Basic Assumptions

Unhappily there is no definitive research that fixes the optimum, (or the minimum or maximum), size of an undergraduate student body. There are accredited four-year institutions, including some in Missouri, usually privately-supported, with fewer than 1,000 full-time undergraduate students. One can generalize safely that such institutions can maintain high quality instruction only at a high cost per student, or by offering a very narrow program, or both. This leads to the following basic assumptions:

- a. Missouri does not wish to maintain state colleges of inferior quality.
- b. Missouri does not wish to subsidize college programs that are excessively costly and demonstrably inefficient.
- c. Missouri wishes to take steps which are consistent with the long-range goal of providing higher education of good quality for an increasing number of her citizens.

The first of these assumptions is so evident as to require no discussion. Attention is now devoted to the second and third.



2. Relationship of Size to Cost.

There is no doubt that it is extremely costly to provide higher education in a college with a very small enrollment. Instructional costs, chiefly faculty salaries, constitute a goodly share of college expenditures. If class sizes are small, that is if the student-faculty ratio is low, it is certain that costs per student will be high. Further there are certain costs that are relatively fixed and increase less rapidly than instructional costs as enrollments rise. Among these are administrative costs, (up to a certain point), library costs, and the maintenance and operation of physical plant. Thus increases in enrollment automatically lower the costs per student.

There are some students of higher education who believe the economies of larger enrollments tend to disappear at some point, perhaps when undergraduate enrollments reach 15,000 students. At some such point a reverse trend appears. The consultant believe that there is validity to this view but only when allowance is made for variations in programs. A large, complex university may have high per student costs, not recessarily because it is large, but also because it offers specialized programs of inherently high cost or low enrollments.

Ar evtersive study of per student costs was made in California in developing its "Master Plar." These studies demonstrate that small institutions have abnormally high costs. Table 1 has been developed from data given on pages 38 and 41 of the California cost study. The authors of this report pointed out that these figures "give no evidence of the quality of instruction." However, one can safely generalize that the high cost institutions listed are not those of the highest quality. Their costs are high because they are small.

1 The Costs of Higher Education in California; 1060-1075, Berkeley, 1960.



Specifically, four institutions, each with fewer than 3,000 students had teaching expense ranging from \$1,208 to \$3,884 per student. (Total operating costs, including operation and maintenance of physical plant, administration, etc. were considerably higher). The other institutions had teaching expense ranging from \$708 to \$1,505 per student with rone above \$1,000 except in the complex universities at Berkeley and Los Angeles with their specialized, high-cost programs.

TAPLE 1

State of California

Institutional Teaching Expense(2) Per Full-Time

Student, 1957-58

Institution	Student Credit (3) Hours	Lower Division	Experse Upper Division
State Colleges			
Humboldt Chico Sacramer to Fresno Lorg Beach Los Argeles San Francisco San Diego San Jose	40,446 81,462 101,278 146,171 152,431 187,108 108,180 109,673 290,486	\$ e53 680 747 725 704 744 699 672	\$ 1,770 1,208 042 084 708 717 851 856 921
Univ. of California Riverside Santa Barbara Los Angeles Berkeley	23,344 66,219 359,631 470,894	2,151 1,107 765 848	3,884 1,761 1,136 1,505

- (2) Teaching expense constitutes only a portion of the total operating cost per student.
- (3) A full-time student generally carries 30-32 credit hours each year. Thus 3,000 F.T.E. students will take 00,000 student credit hours per year.



The figures giver for California colleges include only "teaching expense", which constitutes only a portion of total cost. It should also be noted that the California dollar figures are for the 1057-58 school year. Comparable figures today would be substartially higher.

One may conclude that, in 1966 and after, it costs in excess of \$2,000 per upper-division student to provide education of modest quality in institutions with fewer than 3,000 students. But programs of similar quality could be provided in larger institutions at a substantially lower cost.

It may also be noted that many colleges and universities, chiefly private, of good quality enroll fewer than 3,000 students. These institutions accept the inevitability of high costs per student. This is reflected in their tuition charges. It must be remembered that, in the nation as a whole, student tuition covers little more than half of total college expense. Yet numerous small colleges set tuition at \$1200 or higher. Total costs per student in such institutions are in the \$2,000 to \$3,000 range.

Table 2 sets forth the tuition charges per student in a rumber of small colleges of good quality. All of the institutions listed are "not-for-profit" enterprises. All of them have income from endowments, contributions of alumni and friends, and many receive regular support from the religious denomination with which they are affiliated. Thus it is apparent that their costs per student are at least as high as those of the small California colleges listed in Table 1. Many of them are much higher.

Of course, some of the institutions listed in Table 2 are known to be of exceptionally high quality.



TABLE 2 Tuitior Charges and Enrollments in Selected Colleges

College	Enrollment (4)	<u>Tuition</u> (5)
	1,430	\$ 1,276
Alleghery	1,134	1,500
Amherst	1,797	1,401
Artioch		1,890
Bard	1,550	1,550
Barrard	-,8Ho	1,450
Pates	1,076	1,425
Beloit	361	3,450(6)
Berrirgton	832	1,750
Bowdoin	1,401	1,650
Brandeis	1,628	1,550
Bryn Mawr Cal. Irst. Of Tech.	1,327	1,800
	1,349	2,250(6)
Carleton	504	1,240
Chatham	573	1,320
Claremont Men's	2,328	1,500
Clark	1,300	1,450
Colby	1,545	1,500(6)
Colgate	1,548	2,550(6)
Correcticut College	918	1,230
Cornell (Iowa)	1,565	1,250
Derison	2,260	1,550
De Pauw	1,245	1,425
Dickinson	1,007	1,275
Earlham	1,937	1,430
Elmira	300	2,050
Finch	1,800	1,570
Franklin and Marshall	1,808	1,300
Gettysburg	371	1,800
Goddard	1,016	1,248
Goucher	1,096	1,640
Grinnell	. 793	1,400
Hamilton	276	1,260
Harvey Mudd	<u>4</u> 97	1,610
Haverford	1,330	1,400
Hobart	690	1,600
Hollirs	2,160	1,400
Ithaca	650	1,400
Keryor	1,225	2,450(0)
Knox	1,781	1 1.00
Lafayette	96	2,450(6)
Lake Erie	7-	

(4) Data for Spring, 1065, World Almanac, pp. 705-18.
(5) Fost recent data available; generally for 1062-63.
(6) Includes \$700-000 for room and board.



Middlebury 1,318 2,550(6) Mills (Calif.) 754 2,550(6) Mills (N.Y.) 351 2,050 Mormouth (III.) 808 1,225 Mt. Holyoke 1,609 1,600 Muhlenberg 1,544 1,520 Natl. College of Educ. 857 1,370 Occidental 1,518 1,520 Occidental 1,518 1,520 Ohio Wesleyen 2,101 1,500 Pomona 1,100 1,465 Principia 575 1,568 Principia 575 1,568 Principia 819 2,400(6) Radcliffe 963 1,500 Radcliffe 963 1,500 Radcliffe 963 1,500 Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Hary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Sarah Lawrence 1,587 1,500 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,265 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Swarthmore 955	34 34	01.0	1,300
Mills (Calif.) Mills (N. Y.) Mormouth (III.) Mose Muhlenberg M			1 156
Mills (M. Y.) 351 2,050 Mormouth (III.) 808 1,225 Mt. Holyoke 1,6c9 1,6c0 Mt. Holyoke 1,5c9 1,5k4 1,2c0 Natl. College of Educ. 857 1,3c0 Oberlin 2,5l4 1,5s8 1,2c0 Occidental 1,5s8 1,2c0 Ohio Wesleyan 2,101 1,5c0 Pomona 1,100 1,5c5 Principia 575 1,568 Rardolph-Macon 819 2,4c0(6) Radcliffe 1,170 1,7c0 Reed 963 1,5c0 Ripson 860 2,2c0(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,5c0(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,5c0 St. Lawrence 1,587 1,5c0 St. Hary's (Ind.) 1,0c0 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,2c0 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,2c0 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,2c0 Sarah Lawrence 1,5l6 1,3c0 Skidmore 1,3l1 2,550(6) Smmons 1,6l6 1,3co Skidmore 1,3l1 2,550(6) Smith 2,4l14 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,555 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Wassar 1,580 2,85(6) Wabesh 812 1,560 Wabesh 812 1,2c0 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,2c0 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,2c0 Western (Ohio) 485 1,4c0 Western (Ohio) 485 1,4c0 Western (Ohio) 485 1,4c0 Western (Mass.) 1,0l0 1,700 Williams 1,255			2,550(6)
Mormouth (III.) Mt. Holyoke Nt. Holyoke Natl. College of Educ. Natl. Colle			2 050
Mt. Holyoke 1,6c9 1,6c0 Muhlenberg 1,5hh 1,2c0 Natl. College of Educ. 857 1,3c0 Oberlin 2,5lh 1,55c Occidental 1,518 1,2c0 Ohio Wesleyan 2,101 1,5c0 Promona 1,100 1,165 Prircipia 575 1,568 Rardoloh-Macon 810 2,1c0(6) Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Radcliffe 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,2c0(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johr's (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Johr's (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Jawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Jawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,003 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 1,587 1,500 Skidmore 3,46 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341			1 225
Muhlenberg 1,5hlu 1,200 Natl. College of Educ. 857 1,370 Oberlin 2,5ll 1,550 Cocidental 1,518 1,290 Ohio Wesleyan 2,101 1,500 Pomona 1,100 1,165 Principia 575 1,568 Rardoloh-Macon 819 Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 Sarah Lawrerce 610 2,002 Sarah Lawrerce 610 2,002 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Shimmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 Shith 2,414 1,550 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Unior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,550 Unior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,550 Washington & Jefferson 811 1,260 Washington & Jefferson 811 1,260 Western (Ohio) 485 Western (Ohio) 485 Western (Ohio) 485 Western (Mass.) 1,700 Williams 1,255			
Natl. College of Educ. 857 1,3°C Oberlin 2,51h 1,5°C Occidental 1,518 1,2°C Ohio Wesleyen 2,101 1,5°C Pomona 1,100 1,165 Principia 575 1,568 Rardolph-Macon 819 2,1cc(6) Radoliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,200 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,200 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,200 Scripps 3h6 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,31h 1,550 Smith 2,31h 1,550 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6)	-		
Oberlin 2,51h 1,55c Occidental 1,518 1,20c Ohio Wesleyan 2,101 1,5cc Pomona 1,10c 1,465 Principia 575 1,568 Rardolph-Macon 819 2,4cc(6) Radcliffe 1,17c 1,76c Reed 963 1,5co Ripson 860 2,2co(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,5co(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,5co(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,5co St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,2co St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,2co Scripps 346 2,3co(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,3h1 2,550(6) Smith 2,h1h 1,55c Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,25c Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,25c Swarthmore 95 1	•		
Occidental 1,518 1,20 Ohio Wesleyan 2,101 1,506 Pomona 1,100 1,165 Principia 575 1,568 Rardolph-Macon 819 2,100(6) Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,993 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,4114 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,265 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6)	Metl. College of Educ.		
Ohio Wesleyer 2,101 1,500 Pomona 1,100 1,165 Principia 575 1,568 Rardolph-Macon 819 2,100 Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,311 2,550(6) Smith 2,111 1,550 Smith 2,111 1,550 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,255 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,255 Swerthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,750(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,163 1,550 Wassar 9,580 1,550 Wassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wassar 1,580 3,850(6) Wassar 812 1,200 Waspar 812 1,200 Waspar 812 1,200 Waspar 9,850(6) Wassar 1,780 1,250 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wasterr (Mo.) 1,863 1,585 Westerr (Mo.) 1,865 1,100 Westerr (Mo.) 1,865 1,100 Westerr (Mo.) 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600	O berlin		
Pomona 1,100 1,165 Principia 575 1,568 RardolDh-Macon 819 2,100(6) Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johr's (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Marry's (Ind.) 1,003 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Skidmore 1,311 2,550(6) Smith 2,111 2,550(6) Smith 2,111 2,550 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,250 Swarthmore 955 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,163 1,550 Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,550 Wabsah 812 1,270 Wassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabsah 812 1,270 Wassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabsah 812 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Western (Ohio) 1,855 Western (Ohio) 1,301 1,555 Western (Ohio) 1,301 1,555 Western (Moss.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,225	Occidental		
Principia 575 1,568 (6) Rardolph-Macon 819 2,4c0(6) Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,2c0(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,5c0(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,3c0(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,444 1,550 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,761 2,750(6) Warthmore 955 1,603 1,550 Unior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Wagner 4,850(6) Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Westerr (Ohio) 485 1,600 Westmirster (Mo.) 485 Westerr (Ohio) 485 1,600 Westmirster (Mo.) 1,600 1,700 Williams 1,235	Ohio Wesleyar	-	1,500
Rardolph-Macon 819 2, MCO(0) Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,003 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 Swith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,710(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (M. Y.) 2,018	Pomona		1,405
Radcliffe 1,170 1,760 Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,265 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Swethens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 <	Prircipia		1,568(6)
Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 3h6 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Simmons 1,646 1,300 Sidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Snith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,265 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Swringfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Swethnore 955 1,875 Swethnore 955 1,875 Swet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225	Rardolph-Macon	819	2,4000
Reed 963 1,500 Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,003 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Unior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,550 Wabash 812 1,200 Wabash 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Western (Ohio) 1,85 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 <tr< td=""><td>Radcliffe</td><td>1,170</td><td>1,760</td></tr<>	Radcliffe	1,170	1,760
Ripson 860 2,200(6) Rollins 950 2,275(6) St. Johrs (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,226 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Western (Mass.) 1,600 1,700		963	1,500
Rollins St. Johns (Md.) St. Lawrence St. Mary's (Ind.) St. Mary's (Ind.) Sarah Lawrence Scripps Scripps Stimmons Skidmore Stimmons Skidmons Skidmon		860	
St. Johns (Md.) 380 2,500(6) St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 1,865 1,100 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235	-	950	2,275(6)
St. Lawrence 1,587 1,500 St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,003 1,200 Sarah Lawrence 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Walesh 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Western (Onio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600		380	2,500(6)
St. Mary's (Ind.) 1,093 1,200 Sarah Lawrerce 610 2,020 Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Westerr (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600		— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,500
Sarah Lawrence Scripps Scripps 346 Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 Stephens 1,761 Swarthmore 955 Sweet Briar 694 Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 Western (Ohio) Westmirster (Mo.) Westmirster (Mo.) Westmirster (Mo.) Williams 1,235 1,600 Vasco (Annual Content of		_	
Scripps 346 2,300(6) Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,260 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (M. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Westerr (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westerr (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			2,020
Simmons 1,646 1,300 Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,260			
Skidmore 1,341 2,550(6) Smith 2,414 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600	• •		
Smith 2,114 1,550 South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wasper 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600	· - ·		2,550(6)
South, Univ. of the 755 1,250 Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Waspner 2,280 1,270 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 2,500			1.550
Springfield (Mass.) 1,955 1,265 Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,255 2,500(6)			1,250
Stephens 1,761 2,750(6) Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wagner 2,280 1,200 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			1.265
Swarthmore 955 1,875 Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			2,750(6)
Sweet Briar 694 2,740(6) Trinity (Conn.) 1,463 1,550 Urior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabesh 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washirgtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			1.875
Trinity (Conn.) Unior (N. Y.) 2,018 1,501 Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washington & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Western (Ohio) Western (Ohio) Westmirster (Mo.) Westmirster (Mo.) Wheaton (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235			2.710(6)
Unior (N. Y.) Vassar 1,580 Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner Washington & Jefferson Wellesley Wesleyar (Conr.) Western (Ohio) Western (Ohio) Westmirster (Mo.) Wheaton (Mass.) Williams 1,501 2,850(6) 1,270 1,270 1,275 1,260 1,585 1,400 1,700 1,700 1,700 1,600 1,235			1 550
Vassar 1,580 2,850(6) Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			1,501
Wabash 812 1,200 Wagner 2,280 1,270 Washington & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyan (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheaton (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600	<u>-</u>	2,010	2 850(6)
Wagner 2,28° 1,27°C Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,8°C°(6) Wesleyan (Conr.) 1,3°1 1,5°85 Western (Ohio) 485 1,4°° Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,2°° Wheator (Mass.) 1,04° 1,7°° Williams 1,235 1,6°°			
Washingtor & Jefferson 811 1,225 Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			•
Wellesley 1,783 2,800(6) Wesleyer (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600			
Wesleyar (Conr.) 1,301 1,585 Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600 0 1,700 1,700	•		
Western (Ohio) 485 1,400 Westmirster (Mo.) 625 1,200 Wheator (Mass.) 1,040 1,700 Williams 1,235 1,600 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			2,0000)
Westmirster (Mo.) Wheator (Mass.) Williams 1,200 1,200 1,700 1,235 1,600			1,505
Wheator (Mass.) Williams 1,040 1,700 1,600 2,700(6)			
Williams 1,235 1,600	Westmirster (Mo.)		-
0 700/6\	Wheator (Mass.)		
2 E00(A)	Williams		1,600
11 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Wilsor	570	2,500(6)
Wittenberg 2,095 1,330		2,095	
Wooster 1,450 1,320			
Worcester Poly. Inst. 1,489 1,600	Worcester Poly. Inst.	1,489	1,600

(6) Includes \$700-000 for room and board.



Their high costs per student are due both to this high quality, (as reflected in high faculty salaries for example), and to their small size. However, many of the institutions listed in Table 2 are of good but not exceptional quality. The high costs per student of this group must be due primarily to their small size. The fact is that instruction in a small college is abrormally costly.

Missouri row maintains 10 state colleges and universities. All of them except Lincoln University have errollments in excess of 3,000. Upper-division errollments exceed 1,200 in all except Lincoln, (683)? Northwest Missouri State College, (1,180) and Missouri University at St. Louis (540)? The last named institution is of recent origin.

3. A "Model".

Quality in an undergraduate program grows out of many elements.

Basic, of course, is the first-rate classroom teacher working with earnest, diligent students. Adequate and even beautiful, physical facilities may help although good education also occurs in stark surroundings. Libraries and laboratories are invaluable but the books and equipment are more important than the buildings.

But the student, by defirition, is engaged in the pursuit of knowledge. His curriculum must provide both breadth and depth. The baccalaureate degree designates the completion of an orderly four-year program of studies. The state of Missouri has the right to expect that the graduates of any of its four-year colleges are ready for suitable employment or for further advanced study.



⁷ Includes professional and special students.

Thus it is possible to design a "model" of what even the smallest four-year program should include. While most states, including Missouri, in earlier years established and maintained single-purpose teacher-training institutions, this pattern has been discarded in Missouri and elsewhere. Four-year colleges today are expected, at the very least, to offer curricula in the liberal arts and sciences, (generally leading to further advanced study), in teacher education, and in general business, (both leading directly to employment). So one may construct a "model" with at least the following undergraduate programs:

Fields of Study	No. of Semester Hours Needed at the Upper-
(majors, minors, & "service" courses)	Division Level
English	
Fnglish literature	- 30
General literature, speech, and	•
journalism	30
Physical Science and Mathematics	•
Chemistry	30
Physics	30
Mathematics	24
-Geology	12
Biological Sciences	•
Botany	30
Zoology	30
Social Sciences	
History - U.S.	· 30
World History	3 0
Geography .	18
Government	18
Economics	18
Sociology and Anthropology	18
Teacher Fducation	
Elementary (incl. Psych.)	18
Secondary (ircl. Phil.)	18
Business	
General	30
Secretarial	18
Fine Arts	2կ
Foreigr Larguages	· <u>48</u>
	504



In this "model" it can be seen that academic majors leading to employment, (in teaching or in business), or to further advanced study, are offered in only a dozen fields. Most of these fields and most of the courses to support them must be offered if a defensible undergraduate program is to be provided.

If the 50h semester hours should be provided in 3 semester hour courses it would be necessary to provide 168 different courses, 8h for juniors and 8h for seniors. Some courses would require multiple sections. The students who major in history, for example, would certainly wish to erroll in some courses in English, in the other Social Sciences, in teacher education, and perhaps in Business. A fair estimate would suggest there would need to be some 6h full-time teaching faculty distributed among departments as follows:

Fnglish	8
Physical Science and Math	12
L. L. Eiglogical, Sciences	8
Social Sciences	16
Teacher Education	6
Busiress	6
Fire Arts	L
Foreign Larguages	4

Such a faculty would be able to offer instruction, at the ratio of 20 students per teacher, to 1280 students. If there should be fewer students than this class size would be smaller and cost per student would be higher.

One might speculate the effect of lower enrollment and fewer faculty members by examining one section of the "Model". Suppose it is necessary to employ only half as many faculty because of a student enrollment only half as large, (640 students instead of 1280).



This would suggest 8, instead of 16, teachers for the Social Sciences. There might be one faculty member to teach all the aspects of Sociology and Arthropology, one for the whole spectrum of Fooromics courses, one for all of Political Science and Public Administration, one for all of Geography, and two each for U. S. History and the history of all other nations. Faculty competence would be irregular as schools were assigned to teach subjects and courses for which they have no preparation. Yet they would be instructing advanced, upper-division students. It would be impossible to insure quality in such circumstances. And the "model" assigns the Social Sciences the largest number of faculty. Other departments would have even greater handicaps.

It might be possible to offer some courses only in alternate years. This would effect some saving although it would create certain difficulties in scheduling. The "model" supports the standard of an enrollment of at least 1200 upper-division students to provide a modest program of acceptable quality at defensible cost.

It is recognized that many academicians would wish to revise the allocation of courses in the above "model". Many would wish to enlarge the offerings in certain fields and to broaden the scope of the entire program. Others would prefer adjustments within the total offerings suggested. There are few persons who would recommend lowering the total requirements unless they are willing to eliminate one or two of the three curricula listed; i.e. liberal arts and sciences, teacher education, general business. It should be noted that eliminating any one of these would inhibit enrollment and make it more difficult for the college to reach any enrollment standard. Furthermore a narrow program would be unwise in view of the explosion of knowledge that we are experiencing.



4. Capital Feeds

The physical plant of a college is, of course, related to the enrollment. A college of 3,000 students needs a physical plant considerably larger than a college of 1,000. The campus also needs to be related to the kinds of courses and curricula to be offered. The library alone constitutes a sine qua ron for instruction, and upper-division courses accentuate its importance. Each college can decide the necessities for certain marginal requirements—social and recreational buildings, sports facilities, student housing, parking lots, etc.

Extensive research and considerable experience is available on construction costs. California found that junior colleges could be constructed in 1°58 at a cost of \$3,200 per student while four-year state colleges cost \$4,280 per student and the university costs were \$7,400 per student. Ir each case the costs would be reduced somewhat as the size increased. Junior colleges with as many as 4,000 students would cost only \$2,800 per student, state colleges with 10,000 students would average \$4,050 each.

Illinois found that four-year colleges generally cost at least \$5,000 per student in 1061 and the Illinois Master Plan (1964) suggested a general standard of \$3,000 per student in the junior colleges and \$5,000 per student in four-year institutions.

It should be roted that there has recently been a sharp upturn in construction costs. This means that the above averages are now too low. By 1967, it will be hazardous to count on building a four-year college at a cost, complete, of less than \$5,400 per student.



5. <u>Missouri's Lorg-Rarge Development in Higher Education</u>.

The future of Missouri Southern and Missouri Western is connected with the future of the state's long-range development in higher education. At least three facets of this long-range development appear to be relevant to the immediate responsibility given the Board of Curators.

a. Coordinated Planning.

It has been found essential, in almost all of the states, to provide for long-range planning for public higher education. Such planning seeks to determine the role of the state universities and colleges, to recognize and strengthen the services of the private institutions of higher education; and to foster the proper development of locally-controlled community colleges.

Missouri has already established a planning and coordinating agency in the Commission on Higher Education. In the two years this body has been in existence it has already demonstrated its capacity to assume a major role in developing a long-range plan. Of course, it relies heavily on the assistance which it receives from the colleges and universities of the State.

It would be useful to all agencies, public and private, who are concerned with higher education in Missouri if reasonably specific guidelines for future developments could be developed and generally approved by the legislature and the state executive authority. This would assume all localities and all educational agencies that decisions affecting them would be made as a result of competent professional consideration. Without these guide-lines it is unlikely that a coordinated, logical system of higher education will result. It is recommended that such guide-lines be developed under the leadership of the Commission on Higher Education.



b. Balanced Enrollments.

Most of the Missouri institutions do not have as mary upperdivision students as they would like.

Four-year colleges and universities throughout the nation are seeking to achieve a better balance between enrollment in the upper and lower divisions. Some have already done so. Traditionally four-year institutions experienced a substantial attrition, caused by academic failures and other reasons, so that the jurior-serior classes were substantially smaller than the freshman-sophomore. Where the discrepancy was very great it was noted that the four-year institution was, in fact, performing, in an expensive and inadequate fashion, the role of a jurior college.

The California Master Plan recommended that all the branches of the University system, and virtually all of the state colleges, plan to restrict lower division enrollments to <u>fewer</u> students than enroll in the upperdivision. Over-all the Plan called for less than 40% of undergraduate students in the lower division with over 60% in the upper. California could achieve this because of its extensive net-work of junior colleges.

The state universities of Michigan also have sought to balance enrollment in the two divisions and the University of Michigan already enrolls more upper than lower division students.

The Illinois Master Plan, (1964) while less specific, contained a recommendation that the state colleges and universities "place ircreasing emphasis on upper-division and graduate level instruction and --- relatively less emphasis on programs at the lower division level." In 1065, the Illinois institutions reported these enrollments:



F.T.E. Students

Institution	Lower Division	Upper Division	in Lower Division
U. of IUrbara	11,256	8,572	56.8
U. of IChicago (8)	6,602	1,607	79.5
Southern-Carbondale	0,045	4,935	66.8
Southern-Edwardsville	3,305	1,47?	69.7
Eastern	3,244	1,762	64.8
Illinois State	4,789	2,708	63.0
Northern	7,943	3,566	60.0
Western	4,270	1,543	. 73.4
Ill. Teachers-Chicago	2,400	1,001	55,8
-	53,844	2 8,161	65.7

(8) Began upper-division work in 1965.

While the Illinois institutions are committed to working toward a better balance in enrollments than now exists, where 65.7% of the students are in the lower division, the Missouri Colleges and universities have not yet reached the position of those in Illinois. This is especially the case at Central Missouri State College, at Southwest Missouri State College, and at Southeast Missouri State College. The five former teachers colleges of Missouri could enroll 3,328 additional upper-division students if they were to achieve the balance already reached by the five former teachers colleges of Illinois.

It should also be noted that none of the Illinois institutions errolls fewer than 1,200 upper-division students.

The 1965 figures for the Missouri colleges and universities are as follows:

F.T.E. Students (9)

Institution	Lower-division	Upper-division	ir lower Division
MU-Columbia	6,492	3,141	67.4
MU-Kansas City	1,052	1,305	60.0
MU-St. Louis	2,601	274	84.0
MU-Rolla	393	154	71.0



Central	5,111	1,922	72.7
Southeast	3,754	1,332	73.8
N ortheast	3,209	1,302	60.7
Southwest	3,529	1,338	72.5
Porthwest	2,529	1,168	68.4
Lircoln	1,120	614	64. 6
	30,780	12,640	71.2

It should be noted that large rumbers of professional students enrolled in the branches of Missouri University are rot included in these totals. Their inclusion would lower the proportion of lower division students at Missouri University in three of its locations.

In any case, the Missouri colleges and universities would benefit from larger upper-division enrollments. Such small class sections as exist for undergraduates are usually at this level. Part of this is due to the inherent necessity of offering a wider range of courses for advanced students. By the jurior year many required courses have been completed and the students are scattered in courses needed to complete major and minor concentrations. Every reputable institution contains a variety of these.

Additional students at the junior-senior level can often be added without any addition to facilities or staff and with relatively minor experditures for other costs. Most of the Missouri institutions are in this circumstance, at least in some areas of instruction. It would be prudent to delay establishing any additional upper-division institutions until it is clear that they are, in fact, needed. This consideration supports the establishing of a relatively high standard for errollment.

e. The Future of Junior Colleges.

There is also the consideration of the future of jurior college

(9) Graduate, professional, special, and unclassified students are not included. These exclusions total 7,55° at MU-Columbia, 2,014 at MU-Karsas City, 266 at MU-St. Louis, 3,74° at Rolla, 393 at Central, the at Southeast, 210 at Northeast, 73 at Southwest, 12 at Northwest, and 6° at Lincoln.



education in Missouri. Missouri now supports nine public jurior colleges. Except for the jurior colleges serving St. Louis and Kansas City, the two at Joplin and at St. Joseph are the largest in the state. If, at some future time, they should lose their junior college status, this might have a most unfavorable impact on the establishment and maintenance of other junior colleges.

The legislation which creates, at some future date, the Missouri Western and the Missouri Southern State Colleges seeks to minimize this danger by providing for the continued operation of the two jurior colleges after the upper-division state colleges are established. It would be desirable for this policy to be expressed so firmly as to remove any rotion that the state would absorb the lower-division portion of the enterprise.

The purposes and programs of a jurior college are quite different from those of the first two years of a four-year college. 10 The citizens who have established and maintained a comprehensive junior college would be badly served if they should be deprived of its benefits. Ever the luxury of full state support would not compensate them for the educational losses they would suffer. A firm decision to preserve the unique advantages of a junior college is essential to avoid this danger.

Furthermore, what is the impact of the expansion of Missouri's fouryear college system on other localities? There are large areas not presently served by any institution of public higher education. Ten of Missouri's twenty most populous counties now contain neither a public junior college nor a state college or university. Together these counties contain over hOO,000 people. A public junior college has been recommended



¹⁰ George L. Hall, <u>Higher Education in Three Selected Areas of Missouri</u>, 1064, p. 00.

for the five courties of the "bootheel."11

One may seriously doubt whether additional communities will vote to establish local community colleges, (partially supported by local taxation), if they believe the state might establish a fully state-supported college instead.

It is patently impossible to provide a four-year public college within easy commuting distance of every young person in the state. But many states believe that public community colleges can be provided to serve every person in the state who seeks some measure of post-high school education. Missouri might reach this goal if her citizers take advartage of the admirable Junior College Act of 1°61. Proper guidance at the state and local levels is of great importance. Thus no action by the state should hamper the growth of the junior colleges.

Suggested Standards (for the Board of Curators)

The Board of Curators, as stated above, is required to determine the adeouacy of the senior college campus constructed at Missouri Southern and at Missouri Western and also the sufficiency of enrollment trends to justify a four-year college at either or both locations. The consultant recommends a set of standards which would apply uniformly at both locations.

These are:

- 1. Upper-division enrollments of at least 1,200 full-time equivalent students as part of a total academic errollment of at least 3,000.
- 2. Campuses, land, buildings, and equipment costing at least \$12,000,000. The latter figure is arrived at by figuring the capital cost of \$3,200 for each of the 1,800 lower-division students, \$5,400 for each of

¹¹ George L. Hall, Higher Education in Three Selected Areas of Missouri, 1964, pp. 109-116.



1,200 upper-divisior students. These are very conservative cost figures.

Of course, the goal of 1,200 upper-division students would need to be fixed as the expected enrollment for the second or third year of operation. In such case the evidence to support the predicted enrollment should be conclusive beyond a reasonable doubt. Such evidence might include the achievment of 1,800 students in the lower-division transfer program with at least 700 students a year having completed a two-year college transfer course. This would appear recessary to indicate a potential enrollment of 1,200 in the upper-division.

Specific Application of Suggested Standards

If the standards described above are reasonable and proper, one may inquire as to when the Missouri Scuthern and the Missouri Western Colleges will reach these levels? It is clear that Missouri Southern is nearer than is Missouri Western.

Missouri Southern.

Missouri Southern has in sight some \$3.5 million for its capital plant.

It floated a \$2.5 million bond issue. It has raised some \$450,000 by contributions and some \$300,000 by a building fund tax levy. It has also received a federal grant of \$236,036 for part cost of a library building.

It has purchased an adequate campus in a suitable location. Existing small buildings on the campus can be used temporarily for some educational purposes.

The full-time - equivalent errollment at Missouri Southern last fall was 1,337. If the \$3,200 per student figure is a proper one, the present capital funds available at Missouri Southern are almost adequate to provide a proper campus for its present junior college enrollment. Additional funds, totaling some \$2.1 million, will be needed as the lower-division enrollment



climbs to the 1,800 standard. This should occur between 1°67 and 1°70. (Dr. Hall's study predicted an enrollment "by 1°67-68" of "between 1,600 and 1,900 students." The Jasper County Junior College Master Plan expects an errollment of 2,053 in 1°70.) 13

The "Hall Study" recommended the establishment of a senior college by 1970. At that time there may be a potential upper-division enrollment of 1,200 students. Substantial growth would need to occur to reach this level. In 1965 only 148 students "graduated" from the two-year curriculum. There should be at least five times this number to assure a junior class of 660. (See above.)

The consultant commends the citizens of Jasper Courty for their service to higher education over a period of three decades. He recommends that they proceed to strengthen their jurior college by providing good facilities, quality instructions, and a comprehensive program of studies for two years of post-high education. When their lower-division enrollment reaches 1,800 full-time students, and their graduates in college transfer programs exceed 700, it is urged that they take steps to provide capital facilities, costing at least \$6.5 million additional, for the upper-division program. It is recommended that the Board of Curators of Missouri University and the state legislature at that time authorize the activation of the upper-divisior program.

Missouri Western.

Missouri Western would appear to be farther away from meeting reasonable standards for upper-division work. No capital funds are presently available, no bond issue has been voted, and no campus has yet been



¹²Ibid., p. 106.

^{13&}lt;sub>Page 3</sub>.

acquired. (However, a suitable site may be secured through purchase from the state of property now owned in St. Joseph). Student errollment reached 953 full-time equivalent students last fall. In 1065 there were 110 students who graduated.

The enrollment projections by the college itself estimate a lower-division enrollment of 1,800 by 1970 and an upper-division total of 1,200 by 1974. Dr. Hall's predictions were more conservative. He believed the jurior college ir 1975 would erroll only 1,487. 14

Missouri Western's situation is affected by its location, 43 miles from Northwest Missouri State College, and 53 miles from Kansas City, (Missouri University). Dr. Hall, in recommending against the creation of a four-year college there, pointed out this proximity. Actually, the Northwest Missouri State College operates bus transportation for St. Joseph students in attendance there. It would be clearly economical, and educationally sound, for the State of Missouri to subsidize the transporation of upper-division students to one or both of the near-by state colleges.

The consultant recommends that the Missouri Western College develop its junior college services by building, through a bond issue plus federal aid, a new campus and by expanding its junior college program to make it truly comprehensive. When this has been accomplished, and when the junior college enrollment has reached 1,800 students with at least 700 per year completing a two-year college transfer program, a restudy of the need for upperdivision work might be made.



^{14 &}lt;u>op. cit.</u> p. 99.

Appendix

The consultant found the following documents most useful:

Missouri Commission on Higher Education

First Biennial Report, November 1964
Enrollments in Missouri Colleges and Universities, October, 1965
Missouri College and University Enrollment Projections, 1965
Distribution of Enrollments in Missouri Colleges and Universities
by Student Classifications, Fall, 1965.

Missouri Southern College

Catalog, 1965-66, 1965

Master Plan for Jasper County Junior College, Feb. 1965
Budget Justification Document, 1966-67, Fall, 1965.

Report of the Registrar for 1964-65, Fall, 1965.

Missouri Western College

Catalogue, 1965-66, 1965

Projected Enrollments to 1985, 1965

Major Academic Interests of Students Enrolled at Missouri Western Junior College, 1965.

General

Missouri Public Junior College Handbook, 1964 Edition, State Department of Education

Organized Occupational Education in Missouri Institutions of Higher Education, by K. A. Brunner, Aug. 1965

Higher Education in Three Selected Areas of Missouri, by George L. Hall, November 1964.

